

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



OWEN HARTY, Individua	lly,
-----------------------	------

Plaintiff.

MAGALLANIYA ILBAZ, Clork D.J. MYYA Dog. Clork

Case No.

13

0312

MARPLE HOLDING COMPANY L.P., A Pennsylvania Limited Partnership,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

(Injunctive Relief Demanded)

Plaintiff, OWEN HARTY, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated. (sometimes referred to herein as "Plaintiff"). hereby sues the Defendant. MARPLE HOLDING COMPANY L.P., A Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, (sometimes referred to herein as "Defendant"). for Injunctive Relief, and attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. ("ADA").

- Plaintiff is a Florida resident, lives in Broward County, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual
 with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Plaintiff is paralyzed from the waist down and is bound
 to ambulate in a wheelchair.
- Defendant's property, Broomall Shopping Center, 2940 Springfield Road, Broomall, PA 19008, is located in the County of Delaware.
- Venue is properly located in the EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA because venue
 lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendant's property is located in and does
 business within this judicial district.



- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
- 5. Plaintiff has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property, and to determine whether the property has been made ADA compliant. The Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property which discriminate against him on the basis of his disability and have endangered his safety. These barriers also prevent Plaintiff from returning to the property to enjoy the goods and services available to the public. Plaintiff is also a tester for the purpose of asserting his civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and determining whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA.
- 6. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of the Defendant's discrimination until the Defendant is compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. Plaintiff is deterred from, and is denied the opportunity to participate and benefit from the goods, services, privileges, advantages, facilities and accommodations at Defendant's property equal to that afforded to other individuals. Plaintiff is aware that it would be a futile gesture to attempt to visit Defendant's property if he wishes to do so free of discrimination.
- 7. Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. Defendant is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation

- that the Defendant owns, operates, leases or leases to is known as Broomall Shopping Center.
 2940 Springfield Road. Broomall PA 19008.
- 8. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendant's non-compliance with the ΔDA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 10 of this Complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendant. Plaintiff desires to visit Broomall Shopping Center not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property but to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ΔDA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- 9. The Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the subject property, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.
- 10. The Defendant has discriminated, and is continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, inter alia, have accessible facilities by January 26. 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of Broomall Shopping Center has shown that violations exist. These violations include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Some of the designated parking spaces are improperly sloped. There are improper slopes in the designated parking spaces located by Pep Boys. Petsmart and throughout the facility. The lack of compliant parking makes it difficult or impossible for Plaintiff to park and exit from his vehicle, get into his wheelchair and/or access the shopping center.

3

- b. In some of the public restrooms, there are non-compliant and inaccessible features. Some of the restrooms have obstructions blocking access to grab bars. In Pet Smart, the grab bars are blocked. The side grab bar is blocked by a toilet paper dispenser and the rear grab bar is too close to the toilet. In A.C. Moore, the side grab bar is obstructed by a toilet paper roll. The non-compliant and inaccessible features in the public restrooms make it difficult or impossible for Plaintiff to use the restroom for its intended purpose.
- c. There are designated parking spaces that do not have a direct route to the curb cuts. The lack of a direct route from the designated parking spaces to the curb cuts impedes Plaintiff's access to the shopping center.
- 11. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 10 are not an exclusive list of the Defendant's ADA violations. Plaintiff requires the inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. The Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendant's buildings and its facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendant because of the Defendant's ADA violations, as set forth above. The Plaintiff and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of noncompliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

4

- 12. Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, the Defendant continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- 13. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- 14. Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendant's facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR

- 36.401, then the Defendant's facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.
- 15. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of the Defendant's failure to cure the violations by January 26. 1992 (or January 26. 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiff or waived by the Defendant.
- 16. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendant to alter Broomall Shopping Center to make those facilities readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiff and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the facility until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendant including an order to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and to require the Defendant to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such stops that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied

services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.

- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.
- d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Respectfully Submitted.

THOMAS B. BACON, P.A.

By: <u>jfw8060</u> John F. Ward. Esquire (I.D. No. 81350)

Of Counsel
Thomas B. Bacon, P.A.
200 Country Club Rd.
Roversford, PA 19468

Phone: 610-952-0219 Fax: 954-237-1990 johnfward@comcast.net

Case 2:13-cv-00312-MAM Document 1 Filed 01/18/13 Page 8 of 10

JS 44 (Rev. 12 07)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained berein neither replace nor supplement the filling and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docker sheet. (Still I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVI	ERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDAN	TS	
AVEN			MARPLE HO	DLDING COMPANY L.P.	
	e of First Listed Plaintity 1 EXCLPT IN U.S. PLAIN (IFF C)		NOTE: IN	emce of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAIN LIFE CASES C LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, US AND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Linn Name, A John F. Ward, Esqui 200 Country Club Ro (610) 952-0219	address, and Telephone Number) re, Of Counsel, THOMAS B d., Royersford, PA 19468	. BACON, P.A.	Attorneys (If Kno	ovenì	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place on "N"	in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP O	OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
□ ' US Government Pla-ntiff'			Cutzen of This State	PTF DEF 7 1 7 1 Incorporated on Pri of Business In This	PTF DEF incipal Place 4 4
T 2 1, S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indexite Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item 41)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ □ Incorporated and P of Business In A	Another State
W. W. TUNN OF SH	TT		Citizen or Subject of a Loreign Country	7 3 7 3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENAL	TY BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
↑ 110 Insurance 1 (20 Marine) 2 (30 Miller Act) 1 (40 Negoriable Instrument) 1 (50 Recovery of Overpayment)	PERSONAL INJURY Mo Airplane 315 Airplane Product Fiability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med Malpractic 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	e		400 State Reapportionment 410 Autitust 430 Banks and Bankirg 450 Commerce 460 Deportation
& Lafordement of Judgment 3: 51 Medicare Aut 3: 52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Persona hnury Product Lability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	n. 3 640 R.R. & Truck 3 650 Airline Regs. 3 660 Occupational	□ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable Sat TV R10 Selective Service
(Exc. Veterans) (53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits (60 Stockholders' Suits (90 Other Contract (53 Contract Product Liability (55 Contract Product Liability		□ 375 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal □ Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage □ Product Liability	LABOR ☐ 7:0 Fair Labor Standards Act	ons □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure ☐ 330 Rent Lease & Ljectment ☐ 240 Torts to Unit ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 1 441 Voting 1 442 Employment 1 443 Housing Accommodations 1 444 Welfare 445 Amer, w Disabilities	PRISONER PETITION 5'0 Motions to Vacant Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ott	NS 740 Railway Labor Act 1750 Other Labor Cingatic 1791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION	on 7 870 Taxes (U.S. Plantiff or Defendant) 7 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 2609	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Alocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determinate Under Ligid Access
□ 290 Al: Other Real Property	X 16 Amer. w Disabilities - Other Other C vil Rights	🗇 - 550 Civil Rights	□ 463 Haracas Corpus Alien Detaince □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	:	to Justice 980 Constitutionality of State Statutes
X I \ Original	an "X" in One Box Only) lemoved from	Appellate Court	Reopened (Fransferred from 17 6 Multidistr mother district Litigation	
Y. CAUSE OF ACTI		seq auser		ictional statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION , 23	Deckin DEMAND S Judgm Relief	ent Injunctive CHECK YES only	·
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions)	лижа:		DOCKET NUMBER	JAN 1 8 2013
DAGI January 17, 2013		SIGNATURE OF AT s/ John F. Word	TORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECTURE #	AMOLNT	APPLY INCHER	אויווי	GE MAG, JUR	JC(I

ase 2:13-cv-00312-MAM. Document 1 Filed 01/18/13 Page 9 of 10 FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

OWEN HARTY		: CIVIL ACTION				
V.		: :		13	031	2
MARPLE HOLDING COMP	PANY L.P.	: :	NO.			
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the edesignation, that defendant sh plaintiff and all other parties, a that defendant believes the ca	e Managemen a copy on all ovent that a deall, with its first Case Manager	t Track De defendants, fendant do st appearan ment Track	esignation Form (See § 1:03 of les not agree value, submit to t	n in all civil ca f the plan set fo with the plainti he clerk of cour	ses at the ti orth on the re iff regarding t and serve of	me of everse g said on the
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING (CASE MA	NAGEMENT	TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases br	ought under 2	8 U.S.C. §	2241 through	§ 2255.		()
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny				cretary of Healt	h	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requir	ed to be design	nated for a	rbitratio n unde	r Local Civil R	ule 53.2:	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for p	ersonal inj	ury or property	damage from		()
(e) Special Management – Ca commonly referred to as c the court. (See reverse sid management cases.)	omplex and th	at need spe	ecial or intense	management b	ру	()
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do n	ot fall into	any one of the	other tracks.		(X) ₁
January 17, 2013	s/ John F. W	ard. Esquir	re	Plaintiff, Ower	Harty (
Date	Attorney	-at-law		Attorney for		
(610) 952-0219	(954) 237-199	90	jı	ohnfward@con	ncast.net	
Telephone	FAX Nu	mber		E-Mail Addı	ess	_

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE COSTERN METRICT OF PENNSYI assignment was the political alendar.	00312-MAM Document 1 VANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to b	Filed 01/18/13 Page 10 of 10 c used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of				
Address of Paniful 6190 Woodlands Blvd., Apt. 102, Tamarae, FI, 33319						
Address of Defendant: c/o C T Corporation System. 116 Pine Street. Suite 320, Harrisburg, PA 17101						
Place of Accident. Incident or Transaction: Broomall Shopping Center, 2940 Springfield Road, Broomall, PA 19008 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental co (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Fo		nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yest: No X				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possib	ilities ⁹	Yesu No X				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Jue	loc	Date Terminated				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered	to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earl	ier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ar previously terminated action in this court?				
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or g action in this court?	row out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes⊐ No X uit pending or within one year previously terminated				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringementerminated action in this court?	nt of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	Yes□ No X umbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No X				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?						
		Yes⊏ No X				
CIVIL: (Place V 15 ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
A. Federal Question Cases:		B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. Indemnity Contract. Marine Contra	et, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. U FELA		2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury		3. □ Assault. Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust		4. U Marine Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent		5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. Abor-Management Relations		6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7. X Civil Rights		7. □ Products Liability				
8. □ Wabeas Corpus		8. □ Products Liability Asbestos				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases		9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases		(Please specify)				
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify)						
	ARBITRATION CERTI (Check Appropriate Ca					
I. John F, Ward	, counsel of record do hereby	certify:				
\$150.000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:		clief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
X Helief other than monetary damages is sought						
DATE: January 17, 2013	John F. Ward	81350				
NAME	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney LD.#				
SOTE: Afra	d de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	c has been compilance with F.K.C.P. 38.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is except as noted above.	not related to any case now pending or v	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court				
DATE:	s/ John F. Ward	81350				
	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
CTV 609 (6/08)	• • •	1111 -				

JAN 1 8 2013